DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

MAY - 4 1993

	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
In the Matter of	}
Policies and Rules) CC Docket No. 23-22 /
Implementing the Telephone) RM-7990
Disclosure and Dispute)
Resolution Act)

REPLY COMMENTS

MCI Telecommunications Corporation (MCI) hereby responds to the comments filed on the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking and Notice of Inquiry (NPRM) regarding the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution Act of 1992 (TDDRA or the Act).

I. <u>Definition of Presubscription or Comparable Arrangement</u>

The American Telephone and Telegraph Company (AT&T) and the

National Association for Information Services (NAIS) argue that a

"presubscription or comparable arrangement" should require a

has been provided to the customer and the customer chooses to place a call, then a "presubscription or comparable arrangement" has been established; and a written agreement or a PIN should not be required.

II. Limiting Pay-Per-Call to 900 Access

The comments present a compelling argument against restricting pay-per-call (PPC) services to 900 access; namely, that such a restriction would hinder the development of new services such as those that would involve the use of N11 and N00 codes. In addition, such a limitation is not necessary to protect consumers because all PPC programs, regardless of the access method, would be required to comply with the TDDRA and the

adopted by the Commission, the comments demonstrate that, technically, it is not possible to do so. The TDDRA requires local exchange carriers (LECs) to offer blocking to consumers and, as demonstrated by Pacific Bell, LECs cannot differentiate between interstate and intrastate interLATA call. Accordingly, the blocking requirements for interstate and intrastate PPC calls must be the same.

In addition, the National Association of Consumer Agency Administrators (NACAA) asks the Commission to require "reverse blocking" (whereby PPC access is blocked <u>unless</u> a consumer requests such access). The Commission, however, previously rejected this proposal because it would unnecessarily hinder the development of PPC service.

IV. Billing Information

The comments support MCI's position that the Commission should not require additional information on the billing statement concerning PPC calls. Currently, telephone bills that contain pay-per-call charges indicate, among other things, the pay-per-call number called, the name of the program, and the time, date and duration of the call. In addition, the billing statement lists a toll-free number which customers can call to obtain more information about the IP and the program. If

In addition, under the FTC's proposed rules, billing

^{1/} The TDDRA also requires that billing statements contain this information.

entities are required to inform all customers about their rights and obligations under the TDDRA and applicable rules, including information on non-payment of disputed amounts, in a bill insert either annually or with every bill containing a pay-per-call charge. Moreover, the TDDRA requires carriers to provide to all

carriers and other parties providing billing and collection for pay-per-call services "provide appropriate refunds to subscribers who have been billed for pay-per-call services pursuant to programs that have been found to have violated this section or such regulations, any provision of, or regulations prescribed pursuant to, title II or III of the [TDDRA], or any other Federal law." Accordingly, billing entities must provide refunds under this section only after an order or decision finding that a program violates the TDDRA, the rules and regulations implementing the TDDRA or any other federal law has been issued.

The Commission's proposed rule, which would require billing entities to issue a refund when the Commission or the carrier determines that a program is in violation of federal law seems to require refunds when less than a final determination of unlawfulness has been made and, therefore, goes far beyond the language of the statute. Thus, the Commission should revise its rule to reflect the Act.

The Commission also should reject the National Association of Attorneys General (NAAG) request that the Commission expand the refund provision to require refunds if a program violates state law, or where the call was unauthorized, because it would impose obligations on billing entities far beyond what Congress intended. On its face, Congress clearly did not intend the refund requirement of the TDDRA to apply to violations of state law. Moreover, such a provision would require billing entities to be aware of the thousands of laws in each of the fifty states

and the District of Columbia. Not only is this impossible, but it would impose an immeasurable and totally unreasonable financial burden on billing entities. Accordingly, the NAAG's proposal should be rejected.

VI. Cost Recovery Issues

MCI agrees with GTE and Pacific Bell that a Joint Board is not needed to handle recovery of restricted costs in compliance with the TDDRA. In light of the many other important ongoing issues that will require Joint Board action, such as separations and access charge reform, it would be a waste of scarce industry resources to focus exclusively on this one relatively minor matter. 2

Restricted costs can be segregated into two discrete categories: costs of free blocking, and billing and collection-related costs, such as information dissemination, billing procedures and refund requirements. MCI believes that both types of costs can readily be handled under existing cost-recovery mechanisms.

Ameritech and Bell Atlantic state that the incremental costs of free blocking are negligible and neither carrier anticipates any significant future costs for this type of service. MCI agrees with these carriers, and does not believe that the costs

^{2'} Other ongoing industry issues which will require separations and access charge reform include the local transport restructuring in CC Docket No. 91-213 and switched transport interconnection currently under discussion in CC Docket No. 91-141.

of free blocking are significant enough to warrant specific costrecovery mechanisms.

If, however, a carrier can demonstrate that it does reasonably incur significant costs for blocking, MCI agrees with BellSouth that the cost standard to be applied should be long-run incremental. These blocking costs should be precisely identified and removed from access rates as an exogenous reduction. They could then be passed on to the primary cost-causer, the IP, through a pass-through charge levied by the IXC. For non-dominant IXCs, the Commission should permit them to develop their own method for recovering these costs.

As demonstrated by Ameritech, any costs associated with billing and collection services would not be tariffed and would be part of the LECs' billing and collection revenue requirement, which is recovered through contracts with the IXC. Like blocking costs, these costs should be accurately identified so that they

or charge card. Accordingly, charges for such calls will not be billed to a payphone.

In addition, to prevent receiving collect calls, payphone owners can subscribe to a class of service offered by the LEC that prohibits the billing of toll charges to the access line.

Accordingly, no additional protections are needed in these rules.

VIII. Conclusion

Based on the foregoing, MCI respectfully requests that the Commission revise its proposed rules as discussed in its comments and herein.

Respectfully submitted,
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

Bv:

Mary 5/6%sak
Donald J. Elardo

1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 887-2605

Dated: May 4, 1993

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Vernell V. Garey, do hereby certify that on this 4th day of May, 1993, copies of the foregoing "Reply Comments" in the Matter of Policies and Rules Implementing the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution Act in CC Docket No. 93-22, RM-7990 were served by first-class mail, postage prepaid, upon the parties listed on the following attachment.

Vernell V. Garey

Attachment A RM-7990 Page -2-

James H. Evans, Attorney General Dennis Wright, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF ALABAMA 11 S. Union Street Montgomery, AL 36130

Grant Woods, Attorney General Noreen R. Matts, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF ARIZONA 402 W. Congress, Suite 315 Tucson, AZ 85745

Winston Bryant, Attorney General Kay G. DeWitt, Deputy Attorney General THE STATE OF ARKANSAS 200 Tower Building 323 Center Street Little Rock, AR 72201

Richard Blumenthal, Attorney General Neil G. Fishman, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF CONNECTICUT 110 Sherman Street Hartford, CT 06105

Robert A. Butterworth, Attorney General Mike Twomey, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF FLORIDA Room 1601, The Capitol Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050

Larry Echohawk, Attorney General Brett DeLange, Deputy Attorney General THE STATE OF IDAHO State House, Room 113A Boise, ID 83706

Roland W. Burris, Attorney General Ralph E. Williams, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF ILLINOIS 500 S. Second Street Springfield, IL 62706 Linley E. Pearson, Attorney General Steven A. Taterka, Deputy Attorney General THE STATE OF INDIANA 219 State House Indianapolis, IN 46204

Bonnie J. Campbell, Attorney General Pamela Griebel, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF IOWA Hoover Building, 2nd Floor Des Moines, IA 50319

Robert T. Stephan, Attorney General David C. Wetzler, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF KANSAS Kansas Judicial Center Topeka, KS 66612

Richard Ieyoub, Attorney General Tamera A. Rudd, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF LOUISIANA P.O. Box 94095 Baton Rouge, LA 70125

Michael E. Carpenter, Attorney General Francis E. Ackerman, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF MAINE State House Station 6 Augusta, ME 04333

J. Joseph Curran, Jr., Attorney General William Leibovici, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF MARYLAND 200 St. Paul Pl., 16th Fl. Baltimore, MD 21202

Scott Harshbarger, Attorney General Edgar Dworsky, Assistant Attorney General 1 Ashburton Place Boston, MA 02108 Attachment A RM-7990 Page -3-

Frank J. Kelley, Attorney General Frederick H. Hoffecker, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF MICHIGAN Consumer Protection Division P.O. Box 30213 Lansing, MI 48909

Hubert H. Humprey, III, Attorney General Roberta J. Cordano, Special Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF MINNESOTA Suite 1400 NCL Tower 445 Minnesota Street St. Paul, MN 55155

William L. Webster, Attorney General Nancy Appelquist Allen, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF MISSOURI 149 Park Central Square #1017 Springfield, MO 65806

Frankie Sue Del Papa, Attorney General Colette L. Rausch, Deputy Attorney General THE STATE OF NEVADA 401 South Third Street, #500 Las Vegas, NV 89101

John P. Arnold, Attorney General Charles T. Putnam, Senior Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE 25 Capitol Street Concord, NH 03301-6397 Robert Del Tufo, Attorney General and Chairman of the Subcommittee Sarah E. Fitzpatrick, Deputy Attorney General STATE OF NEW JERSEY AND NAAG 900 NUMBER SUBCOMMITTEE, CONSUMER PROTECTION COMMITTEE Richard Hughes Justice Complex CB-080, 8th Floor Trenton, NJ 07625

Tom Udall, Attorney General Roberta D. Joe, Assistant Attorney General Bataan Memorial Building THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO P.O. Drawer 1508 Santa Fe, NM 87504

Lacy H. Thornburg, Attorney General
L. Darlene Graham, Assistant
Attorney General
THE STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
P.O. Box 629
Raleigh, NC 27602

Nicholas J. Spaeth, Attorney General David W. Huey, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA 600 East Boulevard Avenue Bismarck, ND 58505

Charles S. Crookham, Attorney General Tim Wood, Attorney-in-Charge THE STATE OF OREGON 100 Justice Building Salem, OR 97310

Ernest D. Preate, Jr., Attorney General
Daniel Clearfield, Executive Deputy
Attorney General
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
Public Protection Divison
14th Floor, Strawberry Sq.
Harrisburg, PA 17120

Attachment A RM-7990 Page -4-

James E. O'Neil, Attorney General Robert Botvin, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF RHODE ISLAND 72 Pine Street Providence, RI 02903

Mark W. Barnett, Attorney General Jeffrey P. Hallem, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA 500 East Capitol Pierre, SD 57501-5070

Charles W. Burson, Attorney General Cynthia Carter, Assistant Attorney General STATE OF TENNESSEE 450 James Robertson Parkway Nashville, TN 37243-0485

Dan Morales, Attorney General Craig Jordan, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF TEXAS 714 Jackson Street, Suite 700 Dallas, TX 75202-4506

Jeffrey L. Amestoy, Attorney General Julie Brill, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF VERMONT Pavilion Office Building Montpelier, VT 05602

Mary Sue Terry, Attorney General
Frank Seales, Jr., Senior
Assistant Attorney General
THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
101 North 8th Street
Richmond, VA 23219

Kenneth O. Eikenberry, Attorney General David M. Horn, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF WASHINGTON 900 4th Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98164-1012 James E. Doyle, Attorney General David J. Giles, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF WISCONSIN P.O. Box 7856 Madison, WI 53707-7856

Joseph B. Meyer, Attorney General Mark T. Moran, Assistant Attorney General THE STATE OF WYOMING 123 Capitol Building Cheyenne, WY 82002

Francine J. Berry
Mark C. Rosenblum
Albert M. Lewis
Room 3244J1
295 North Maple Avenue
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920-1002
Attorneys for American Telephone
and Telegraph Company

Martin T. McCue
Vice President and
General Counsel
U.S. Telephone Association
900 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20006-2105

Philip F. McClelland Assistant Consumer Advocate 1425 Strawberry Square Harrisburg, PA 17120

For: Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate and The National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates Attachment A RM-7990 Page -5-

Leon M. Kestenbaum
Michael B. Fingerhut
1850 M Street, N.W.
11th Floor
Washington, DC 20036
Attorneys for Sprint
Communications Company, L.P.

Walter Steimel, Jr., Esq. Fish & Richardson 601 13th Street, N.W. 5th Floor North Washington, DC 20005 Attorneys for Pilgrim Telephone, Inc.

Eugene G. Hanes Advisory Staff Gary Tomlin Director of Telecommunications Alabama Public Service Commission P.O. Box 991 Montgomery, AL 36101-0991

Durward D. Dupre Richard C. Hartgrove Kevin Murphy President VRS Billing Systems 122 Saratoga Avenue Santa Clara, CA 95051

Danny E. Adams
Rachel J. Rothstein
Wiley, Rein & Fielding
1776 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
Of Counsel:
VRS Billing Systems

Glenn B. Manishin
Blumenfeld & Cohen
1615 M Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036
Attorney for VoiceLink, Inc.
Attorney for Amalgamated MegaCorp

D. Billye Sanders
Assistant General Counsel
Tennessee Public Service Commission

Attachment A RM-7990 Page -6-

Steven J. Metalitz
Vice President and General Counsel
Angela Burnett
Assistant General Counsel
Information Industry Association
555 New Jersey Avenue, N.W.
Suite 800
Washington, D.C. 20001

Robert J. Butler Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Jay C. Keithley Vice President Law and External Affairs 1850 M Street, N.W. 11th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036

Michael S. Pabian
200 West Ameritech Center Drive
Room 4H76
Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025
Attorney for the Ameritech
Operating Companies

Albert H. Kramer
Robert F. Aldrich
Douglas E. Rosenfeld
KECK, MAHIN & CATE
1201 New York Avenue, N.W.
Penthouse Suite
Washington, D.C. 20005-3919
Attorneys for the American Public
Communications Council

National Association of Consumer Agency Administrators 1010 Vermont Avenue, N.W. Suite 514 Washington, D.C. 20005

James E. Taylor
Richard C. Hartgrove
John Paul Walters, Jr.
One Bell Center, Room 3520
St. Louis, MO 63101
Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone
Company

William J. Cowan General Counsel New York State Department of Public Service Three Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223

William B. Barfield Richard M. Sbaratta Helen A. Shockey 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Suite 1800 Atlanta, GA 30367-6000

John M. Goodman 1710 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 Attorney for the Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies

Ward W. Wueste, Jr., HQE03J43 Richard McKenna, HQE03J36 GTE Service Corporation P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092 Attachment A RM-7990 Page -7-

Gail L. Polivy 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036 Attorney for GTE Corporation

R.E. Sigmon Vice President - Regulatory Affairs Cincinnati Bell Telephone 201 E. Fourth Street, 102-320 Cincinnati, OH 45201

James P. Tuthill Nancy K. McMahon Pacific Bell 2600 Camino Ramon Room 2W852 San Ramon CA 94583 Peter Arth, Jr.
Edward W. O'Neill
Timothy E. Treacy
Attorneys for the People of the State of
California and the Public Utilities
Commission of the State of California
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Rochelle D. Jones Director - Regulatory The Southern New England Telephone 227 Church Street New Haven, CT 06510

John W. Hunter Madison Office Building, Suite 400 1155 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Attachment A RM-7990 Page -8-

Lee A. Marc Summit Telecommunications Corp. 1640 South Senulveda Blvd... Suite 207

